Director of Training

1 July 1960

12010

ILLEGIB

Chief, Assessment and Bvaluation Staff

AMI Staff Belations with the Medical Staff

1. I have kept you informed on the relationships between this office and the Psychiatric Division of the Medical Staff ever since the IO Report of last year recommended the close coordination of the AAE Staff with other individuals in the Agency performing psychological services. As you will recall, my previous reports have always indicated that the cooperation between AME and the Medical Staff has been good since I assumed responsibility for the staff. We have occasionally exchanged information on cases and visited each other's staffs for briefings and conferences. However, this relationship was abruptly interrupted last month when the Medical Staff took certain actions which disrupted our activities on a project in which the AAE and Medical Staffs were jointly participating. The circumstances concerning these actions were as follows.

25X1A9a 2. Last December we were requested by Mr. to perform the psychological assessment on members of a special project which was being planned by From the general semesament requirements provided by the designed a psychological test and questionnaire battery which the semesament is to have 25X1A 25X1A9a interpreted into Spanish. Beginning early this year, and I attended the meetings of the group which was to perform the assessment of the individuals to be used on the special project. 25X1A9a staff, and Dr. a psychiatrist from were among those present at most of these meetings. From the beginning, both ASE and the Psychiatric Division were asked how much time they would require for each individual. I stated that we would need up to a day for group testing, plus one-half day for interviewing and other activities for each individual. The Psychiatric Division stated on maserous occasions that two hours per individual would be sufficient for their purpose.

25X1A

25X1A9a

25X1A9a

25X1A9a

3. At the last meeting of this group at headquarters (9 May 1960), the time requirement was restated by both groups. also pleaded at this time for temmork and full cooperstion of all participants of the assessment staff when they are tred

at the site. He tion. I indicate	encouraged fre	concurrence with t	pre b	
Document No				
Mo Change In Class. 🗌				
☐ Beclessified		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	the therms	
Clinc. Stranged to: TS &	2.00			
Approved For Re	elease 2001/03	08 AARDP79-0	0434	
	126	DEPRIN		
22 JAN 1979_	By: U.S			

By: OH

# Approved For Release 2001/03/03 : CIA-RDP79-00434A000100040002-4 SFCRET

#### SUBJECT: AME Staff Relations with the Medical Staff

		25V1 A O o
25X1A9a	4. Immediately following that meeting, and I	25X1A9a
20/1/1/04	attendance at any previous meetings, and the last we were asked what tests we were going to administer, and we informed	25X1A9a
25X1A9a	these same of the results of our testing bettery, specifically what we refer to	25X1A
25X1A	the I informed him we would be glad to let them use these data. At this time	25X1A9a
	indicated that he might have to have the translated and administered at the site. This was the first indication that the Medical Staff intended to administer any testing instrument or questionnaire.	25X1A
	5. On 19 May, the assessment staff held its first planning meeting at the assessment site for the purpose of setting up a procedure on the medical, psychiatric, psychological, and polygraph examinations. (FI, CI, and TND debriafings were also scheduled.) The Medical Staff requested that the assessment be assigned to them	
	first for physical examinations. I suggested the possibility of administering our test and questionnaire bettery to part of the group concurrently, but stated that he would like to have the group first. He pointed out that he could be working on them while the physical examination was being conducted. We all agreed to the Medical Staff's request. Two days after the	25X1A9a
25X1A	assessment started, AEE received the first group of ten men who had been through the Medical Staff. After administering a few tests to the first group, the form for the	25X1A
25X1A	completed this. When shown the remainder of our battery of cards and the Medical Staff. We discontinued the testing immediately and disclased the group.	25X1A ₫
25X1A9a	6. and I went directly for a conference with Dr. We pointed out that they had stated on	25X1A9a
	a number of occasions they were not going to administer any forms to this group, and that we had agreed to give them the data which they had already collected. It was pointed out also that we had been put into an assummi position with the assessees by requesting	
25X1A9a	the same information from them which they had already given.  showed us the test bettery that they were administering, which included the instruments referred to plus numerous others	
	which would permit them to do a complete psychological assessment. When I pointed out to them that the agreement I had understood was that they were to do the psychiatric acreening, and we were to do	5

# Approved For Release 200-1/03/03 : CIA-RDP79-00434A000100040002-4

SUBJECT: ALE Staff Relations with the Medical Staff

		25X1A9a
25X1A9a	the psychological assessment, who has only been with the Agency a short time, seemed to be completely confused by the relationships between the A&E Staff and the Medical Staff. He appeared to be sincerely interested in avoiding any controversy. answered my queries by irrelevantly asking what we	
25X1A9a 25X1A	In the exchange between and enged their plan because he stated that they had changed their plan because he had decided after the last meeting of the assessment group at headquarters that wented more from them than the initial screening that they had planned. (The case officer conducting that headquarters meeting later told me that he had definitely not changed his requirements in this regard.) Therefore he was now administering eight hours of written work for each individual. I responded that this retter should have been brought up at that meeting, which had been held for the purpose of summarizing our responsibilities and clearing up any ambiguities. We case to no understanding concerning the differentiation of our roles in the	25X1A 25X1A9a
	project. In conclusion I agreed not to make a protest of their actions to the case officer in charge of the site, providing we received all the information from the instruments which we had originally intended to administer ourselves.  agreed to this and promised to have translations of the data on the first ten people sent to us the next sorning. We did receive this information from	25X1A9a 25X1A9a
25X1A9a 25X1A9a 25X1A9a	7. The policy of the case officer in charge at the site was to review the results from the entire assessment staff—polygreph results. If and FI debriefings, in addition to the Medical and AAE Staff findings—at a conference attended by all the staff members who had participated in the actual assessment. At these meetings, pave a psychiatric assessment of the cases he had completed. On the other hand, gave a complete psychological assessment on the cases that he had done. (Note: did one-half the cases and did one-half, so they did not report on the same cases.) and I gave as complete a psychological assessment as we could with our limited data. However, it became evident at the meetings that we were at a great discoverage because of the lack of information which the Medical Staff had maneuvered us out of.	25X1A9a 25X1A9a
25X1A9a	8. I left the site after two weeks and was replaced by of our staff. remained on. By this time 25	X1A9a

#### Approved For Release 28 10 10 13 : CIA-RDP79-00434A000100040002-4

SUBJECT: AME Staff Relations with the Medical Staff

it had become evident that no further data was to be received 25X1A9a Before I left, I took this matter up with 25X1A9a who was the case officer in charge. I pointed out that the original arrangement we had had in Washington of one-bad-s-half days for a psychological assessment and a twohour paychistric interview had not been kept. He stated that it was not his intention that there was to be any change in the original plans. The requirements which he had placed upon Add. and the Medical Staff had at no time changed. However, it was his judgment that this was a professional problem to be resolved by the ASE Staff and the Medical Staff. He did not went to be put in a position of telling any professional individual what date he required to perform his part in the assessment. I pointed out that I could not ask our staff meabers to remain on when they did not have adequate information to do their work, particularly when they were being put in a very assemed situation who had a wealth of information on all the subjects. 25X1A9a decided upon the following action: he would request 25X1A9a at the next resting of the assessment group that all data gathered on each case be put into an envelope and passed on to the next member of the appearment tom. In this way, the AAE psychologists would have all the data which the Medical Staff had. We all agreed to this at the next meeting of the assessment group, and I departed from the site believing that our psychologists would get the data they required to do their work. After the meeting, of the Office of Personnel, who was working with 25X1A9a the Medical Office as an interpretor, asked if we wanted all the data for each case. I infermed him that we would be glad to have it. He replied that they had about "ene-hundred pages" of written material on each individual, and that much of it was not being used, but would be analyzed further after it had been returned to heedquarters. 25X1A9a returned to beadmarters on 20 June after all the assessments had been completed. Dr. 25X1A9a reported that no change had taken place concerning the tremmittal of data from the !edical to the AAE Staff after I 25X1A9a departed from the site. The gratem set up by 25X1A9a never been put into offect. had been replaced by The relations between 25X1A9a theme two individuals and the two Ade psychologists was apparently sood otherwise. 25X1A9a was on leave when I returned to headquarters, and I was not able to discuss this matter with him until 29 June.

Ž.

### Approved For Release 200 1003 CIA-RDP79-00434A000100040002-4

SIRULT: AND Staff Relations with the Sedical Staff

25X1A

I reviewed with him briefly what I thought the understanding had been at our beedquarters meetings as to the differentiation between the responsibilities of the Add Staff and the Psychiatric Division. I also recalled his plea for cooperation when we arrived at the site, and then related to him what had actually happened. He stated that he had heard of no changes in the plans of the Madical Staff prior to their departure from headquarters, and had beard nothing of the incident I described. He further stated that he was absolutely sincero in the plea which he made for beamsork and professional cooperation. He also indicated that he was not in a position to take any action on this patter.

11. Conclusions. I can dress no other conclusions from the incidents described above then that this was a definite attempt 25X1A9a by the Madical Staff, particularly on the part of to take over the neychological assessment function assigned to us. There was emple time between the 9 May headquarters meeting and our arrival at the cito to notify the case officer and us of any changes in their plans for participation in the project. There was also an opportunity to bring this out at the site before the program started. Instead, after insisting on being the first to receive the individuals in the aspectment process, we were faced with a fait eccompile. We were then in the position of having to compete rather than cooperate with them. Although we were at a great disedvantage because of the paucity of data in our possession, I believe we sade our contribution. I have been assured by Carl

25X1A

that he relied heavily upon our recommunistions. He informed me before I left the site that he had personally requested the AME Staff to participate in this project because of his respect for the contributions made by when the two of these worked

25X1A9a

25X1A9a 25X1A6a

together on

12. I believe that this was a most unfortunate incident to have occurred while we were in the field. The prefessional controversy involved, and the attempt by the Psychiatric Division to define roles while in the field put the case officer in charge in an extremely assemed position. I also believe this type of action does a disservice to the psychological services in general in the Agency. It does not help the reputation of any of us involved in this activity, to make the operational project an areas for a professional battle.

13. The action by the Psychiatric Division caused considerable duplication, and even hardship at the site. Unquestionably the all had a paychological assessment by 25X1A9a Care Cono for both his group and by M.E. One psychological assessment would have

### Approved For Release 2001/03/03 : CIA-RDP79-00434A000100040002-4

SUBJECT: AND Staff Relations with the Medical Staff

been sufficient. On the other hard, problem problem is 25X1A9a assessment performed on one-half the assessment appeared to be a perfectly appropriate role, and he did not appear to be duplicating our work. His assessments were primarily directed toward the continual integration and the mental condition of the individual.

The same situation existed when

U. Finally, it is most unfair to the assessment optithes through a double psychological assessment. It was also most unfair to overtworden the interpretor staff with these conditions, particularly when there was a definite shortage of interpretors. It was only by virtue of the willingness of the interpretor staff to be cooperative and work long, bard hours that we were able to get the support we needed in this regard.

25X1A